

### Left Atrial Appendage Closure **Past, Present and Future**

Muhammad Rizwan Afzal, MD, FACC Associate Professor of Medicine The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center

1

2

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

None

### **Disclosure**

D THE ORIO STATE UNIVERSITY



4

## **Objectives**

- What is relationship of AFIB and Stroke?
- Stroke prevention strategies?
- Role of left atrial appendage closure (LAAC) in stroke prevention
- Evolution of Watchman device over the years
- Challenges of Watchman
- What is new?

### Stroke Risk is associated with CHADVASC score



Stroke risk stratification in atrial fibrillation. From: Lip GV, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA. Cri dinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a based approach: the euro baset survey on atrial fibrillation. Chest. 2010;137:263-72. HTN, Hys mouncardial infactor; PAD, parphenal antrial disease.

### AF Creates Environment for Thrombus Formation in Left **Atrium Appendage**





7





8



 64, 661 patients from large US commercial insurance database (2010-2014)

 90%
 • CHA2DB2-VASc 0 or 1
 • CHA2DB2-VASc 2 or 3
 • CHA2DB2-VASc 2 + 4

 90%
 • 47,5%
 • 40,2%

 90%
 • 0
 • 0
 • 0

Rivaroxaban

N = 12,336

warfarin N = 38,190

Dabigatran

N = 10,325

Adherence to Anticoagulation Remains a Challenge





Adherence

10%

Apixaban N = 3900



✓ Preventing Early and Late device related thrombus
✓ Enhancing Endothelialization



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY





<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image>

13



12



PINNACLE FLX

16

14

Cost-Effectiveness of Left Atrial Appendage Closure for Stroke Reduction in Atrial Fibrillation: Malysis of Pooled, 5-Year, Long-Tem Data LAC was cost-effective relative to warfarin by year 7 and dominant (more effective and less costly) by year 10. LAC became cost-effective and dominant compared with NOACs by year 5

Years
Reddy VY, et al. J Am Heart Assoc. 2019. PMID: 31220600
Units: DHID: State University of the Sta





### Improvement in device characteristics

17

## **Challenges of LAAC**

- Peri-device leak common
- · Device related thrombus uncommon
- · Device Embolization rare

## What is a Peridevice Leak (PDL)?

- PDL is a residual communication between LAA and LA after LAA closure devices - epicardial or endocardial
- PDL is often detected at follow-up imaging
- · Some inherent reasons of device structure and LAA anatomy

• It can not be Eliminated BUT incidence can be lowered

20

THE ORIO STATE UNIVERSITY

# a PDL r

Albaghdadi et al. 2020, Structural Heart

19

# Mechanism of PDL- Role of LAA anatomy

- Ellipsoid LAA and circular LAAC device
- Non-chicken wing LAA
- Rhythm change from implant to follow up Sinus- contractile appendage AF- dilated, eccentric
- Larger LAA orifice size



Albaghdadi et al. 2020, Structural Heart

#### 21

### **Antithrombotic Regimens After LAAC**

LOW BLEEDING RISK		
Warfarin + ASA 100-325 mg daity	ogrel 75 mg daily 100–325 mg daily	ASA 100-325 mg daily
Implementation 45 days	6 months	2
TEE or	0 months	
CCTA		
at 45 days HIGH BLEEDING RISK		
Clopidogrei + ASA 100-3	75 mg daily 25 mg daily	
Implantation	1-6 month	•

22

### **Clinical Implications of PDL** Background - What a big deal?

- PDL  $\geq$  5 mm: Arbitrarily cut off for clinical significance in PROTECT AF & PREVAIL
- Criteria for discontinuation of anticoagulation in early studies: 45-day TEE with PDL <5 mm and no device related thrombus (DRT), Transition to DAPT and then low dose aspirin
- PDL <5 mm thought to be clinically insignificant \*\*</li>
- 5 mm threshold for Watchman FLX (PINNACLE FLX) and Amplatzer Amulet (Amulet IDE)
- HOWEVER, recent data for Long-term follow-up suggests that any
   PDL portends adverse long-term consequences lets talk about that...

Viles-Gonzalez et al. 2012, JACC\* Saw et al. 2017, JACC Cardiovasc Interv\*









Muhammad R. Afzal, MD,<sup>11,14</sup> James K. Gabriels, MD,<sup>11,14</sup> Gregory G. Jackson, MD,<sup>11</sup> Lu Chen, MD,<sup>11</sup> Benjamin Buck, MD,<sup>15</sup> Sandra Campbell, RN,<sup>1</sup> Dawn F. Sabin, RN,<sup>1</sup> Erace Goldner, MD,<sup>11</sup> Haisam Ismull, MD,<sup>11</sup> Christopher F. Lia, MD,<sup>13</sup> Apoor Patel, MD,<sup>15</sup> Stuat Beldner, MD,<sup>12</sup> Emile G. Davad, MD,<sup>15</sup> John D. Hummel, ME Christopher K. Ellis, MD

## **Clinical Implications – New Horizons**



### **Clinical Implications – Summary of** emerging data

- Presence of any PDL is clinically relevant
- •PDL size can evolve over time
- •Significant PDL
  - Can preclude the cessation of OAC after LAAC
  - Require surveillance imaging
  - May necessitate secondary closure

26



Incidence and temporal evolution of delayed peridevice leak after left atrial appendage closure

Sapan Bhuta, MD, Austin Carlen, BS, Salvatore J. Savona, MD, FHRS, Ralph S. Augostini, MD, FHRS, Steven J. Kalbfleisch, MD, FHRS, Mahmoud Houmsse, MD, FHRS, Emile G. Daoud, MD, FHRS, John D. Hummel, MD, FHRS, Muhammad R. Atzal, MD



28





25



	Peridevice leak after Watchman implantation	
No. Insk		Acrelant
+		+
echocardiography or computed tomography at 6 weeks		Recapture and redeploy
s3 rem lean	s3 mm licit	
May stop anticoargolation Repeat imaging at 6-12 reports to assess progress	Continue/restart anticoaguilation Repeat imaging at 6-12 months to assess progress	
±3 mm leak	±3 mm (ash	>3 mm leak
No foliow-up imaging needed	May stop anticoagulation Repeat imaging at 6-12 months to extens progress	Continue anticoogulation Consider coll embolization Repeat imaging 3 incenting and coll embelization
	s3 mm baak	
	No fallow-up analtan meded	

# Thanks